From the Editors: Keeping Scholarship Accessible

Sonja Luehrmann and / et Alexandrine Boudreauil-Fournier

As Martijn Koster and Alan Smart point out in their introduction to this volume’s theme section, “Moving beyond the Formal/Informal Dichotomy,” anthropological research often crosses apparent divides, such as those between Global North and Global South, formalised bureaucracies and patronage systems, and gift and cash economies. In this issue, authors engage urban planners and activists in Latin America and Spain (Aguilera, Koster, Müller), small business owners in the Philippines (Milgram), religious communities and ritual practitioners (Macdonald, Toffin), the privileged recipients of international education (Bolay), and makers and viewers of Hollywood movies (Dominguez and Balakian).

Although we engage multiple communities and locations, anthropological research, once written up, does not reach beyond the boundaries of Western academia. Some of our research is locked behind paywalls that keep out those not affiliated with well-founded libraries. It is also locked into disciplinary languages and national scholarly traditions that set vital standards, but sometimes exclude our own colleagues and collaborators.

Part of the issue of accessible scholarship is financial. Libraries have long rung alarm bells about exponentially rising costs of journal subscriptions, and small university presses are under pressure from big commercial publishers that, according to 2013 data, account for just 20% of all social science articles published (Larivière, Haustein, and Mongeon 2015). Publishing with a non-profit university press that charges moderate subscription fees (a library subscription to the online version of Anthropologica costs $100 per year) is one way in which CASCA has tried to counter this trend.

After last year’s annual meeting, CASCA embarked on a renewed exploration of open access publishing models. In part, this is a pragmatic reaction to changes in the SSHRC funding from which Anthropologica has benefited for the past 40 years. To qualify for the current funding cycle, we had to present a plan for open access by the end of 2020. A survey of CASCA members in the summer of 2018 showed overwhelming support for open access at all ends including dialoguing with other Canadian journals and those affiliated with the American Anthropological Association through joint symposia in Vancouver in April 2019 and at the AAA/CASCA joint meeting in November. This year is also the first time for Anthropologica to participate in Déjà lu, a multilingual compilation of articles hosted by the World Council of Anthropological Associations. Our first submission is the article “Body, Nature, Language: Artisans to Artists in the Commodification of Authenticity,” by Monica Heller, Sari Pietikäinen and Emanuel da Silva. This co-authored piece exemplifies the pleasures of international collaboration, but also warns of the danger of valuing “cosmopolitanism in and of itself” (Heller; Pietikäinen, and da Silva 2017, 114) in the context of increased commodification of symbolic resources.

As we work toward fuller accessibility, we are grateful to the editorial board members, to the CASCA executive, and
to the Open Access Working Group and its co-chairs, Thomas McIlwraith and Caura Wood. Most of all, we thank our authors, reviewers and readers, whose contributions are what truly sustains academic publishing.

Note
1 This phrase comes from the open access policy of the journal Imaginations. See “What Is Open Access?” http://imaginations.glendon.yorku.ca/?page_id=7053 (accessed 24 November 2018).
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